

VOLUMES OF SYMMETRIC RANDOM POLYTOPES

MARK W. MECKES

ABSTRACT. We consider the moments of the volume of the symmetric convex hull of independent random points in an n -dimensional symmetric convex body. We calculate explicitly the second and fourth moments for n points when the given body is B_q^n (and all of the moments for the case $q = 2$), and derive from these the asymptotic behavior of the expected volume of a random simplex in those bodies.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{K}^n$ denote the family of all convex bodies in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$, that is, all compact convex sets with interior points; and let $\mathcal{K}_s^n$ denote the family of symmetric convex bodies, that is, all $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ such that $K = -K$. For any $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $N \geq n + 1$, we define the random variable

$$U_{K,N} = \frac{1}{|K|} |\text{conv}\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}|,$$

where $|A|$ denotes the volume of a Borel set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and $x_1, \dots, x_N$ are independent random points uniformly distributed in K . That is, $U_{K,N}$ is the normalized volume of a random polytope in K ; in particular, $U_K = U_{K,n+1}$ is the normalized volume of a random simplex in K . Note that the distribution of $U_{K,N}$ is an affine invariant of K . For $K \in \mathcal{K}_s^n$ and $N \geq n$, we define

$$V_{K,N} = \frac{1}{|K|} |\text{conv}\{\pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_N\}|,$$

where again $x_1, \dots, x_N$ are independent uniform random points in K . $V_{K,N}$ is the normalized volume of a symmetric random polytope in K ; $V_K = V_{K,n}$ is the normalized volume of a random crosspolytope in K . The distribution of $V_{K,N}$ is a linear invariant of K .

Interest in the behavior of the moments of $U_{K,N}$ dates back to the four point problem of Sylvester, first stated in the 1860's (see [19] for a review of this problem's early history). In this paper we consider also the moments of $V_{K,N}$, and their relationship to the moments of $U_{K,N}$. We first observe that these moments are always minimized when K is an ellipsoid.

Theorem 1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a strictly increasing continuous function.*

- (1) $\mathbb{E}f(U_{K,N}) \geq \mathbb{E}f(U_{B_2^n,N})$ for any $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and $N \geq n + 1$.
- (2) $\mathbb{E}f(V_{K,N}) \geq \mathbb{E}f(V_{B_2^n,N})$ for any $K \in \mathcal{K}_s^n$ and $N \geq n$.

Special cases of Theorem 1(1) were proved in [2, 3, 9, 10, 21]; the version quoted here was proved by Giannopoulos and Tsolomitis in [8], and was extended by Hartzoulaki and Paouris to quermassintegrals of random polytopes in [11]. The main tool in all of the proofs is Steiner symmetrization, and only notational changes in the proofs are necessary to prove Theorem 1(2).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52A22 (60D05).

Partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

A natural conjecture is that the moments $\mathbb{E}U_{K,N}^p$, $p \geq 1$, are always maximized for all $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ when K is a simplex, and that $\mathbb{E}U_{K,N}^p$ and $\mathbb{E}V_{K,N}^p$ are maximized for all $K \in \mathcal{K}_s^n$ when K is a parallelotope or crosspolytope. Both of these conjectures are known to be true when $n = 2$, but only partial results in this direction are known for $n \geq 3$; see [6, 7, 5, 14].

For the rest of this paper, we will consider only the particular cases $U_K = U_{K,n+1}$ and $V_K = V_{K,n}$, that is, the volume of a random n -dimensional simplex or crosspolytope in $K \in \mathcal{K}_s^n$. In Section 2, we make some general observations about the moments of U_K and V_K ; in particular, we show that $\|V_K\|_p^{1/n} \simeq 2\|U_K\|_p^{1/n}$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, where here and below $f \simeq g$ means $f = (1+o(1))g$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In Section 3, we calculate $\mathbb{E}V_{B_q^n}^2$ and $\mathbb{E}V_{B_q^n}^4$ explicitly, where $B_q^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^q \leq 1\}$ for $1 \leq q < \infty$, and $B_\infty^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \max |x_i| \leq 1\}$. From this, we are able to derive the exact asymptotic order of $(\mathbb{E}V_{B_q^n})^{1/n}$ and $(\mathbb{E}U_{B_q^n})^{1/n}$. Finally, in Section 4, we calculate all of the moments of $V_{B_2^n}$.

2. GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

Recall that an Orlicz function is a continuous, increasing, convex function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t) = \infty$; the corresponding Orlicz norm of a random variable X is

$$\|X\|_\psi = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \mathbb{E}\psi\left(\frac{|X|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Proposition 2. *Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_s^n$. Then for any Orlicz function ψ , we have*

$$\frac{2}{(n+1)^{1/n}} \|U_K\|_\psi^{1/n} \leq \|V_K\|_\psi^{1/n} \leq 2 \|U_K\|_\psi^{1/n}.$$

In particular, for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\frac{2}{(n+1)^{1/n}} \|U_K\|_p^{1/n} \leq \|V_K\|_p^{1/n} \leq 2 \|U_K\|_p^{1/n}.$$

Proof. Define the functions

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \det(x_1, \dots, x_n), \\ f_i(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \det(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

To simplify notation, we assume that $|K| = 1$. Since ψ is convex and nondecreasing, for any $\rho > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\psi\left(\frac{n!U_K}{\rho}\right) &= \int_{K^{n+1}} \psi\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \left| \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(-x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \right| \right) dx_0 \cdots dx_n \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n \int_{K^{n+1}} \psi\left(\frac{n+1}{\rho} |f_i(-x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)|\right) dx_0 \cdots dx_n \\ &= \mathbb{E}\psi\left(\frac{(n+1)!}{2^n \rho} V_K\right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\|U_K\|_\psi \leq \frac{n+1}{2^n} \|V_K\|_\psi$. On the other side we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \psi \left(\frac{n! V_K}{2^n \rho} \right) &= \int_{K^{n+1}} \psi \left(\frac{1}{2\rho} \left| \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) + \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(-x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \right| \right) dx_0 \cdots dx_n \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{K^{n+1}} \psi \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \left| \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \right| \right) dx_0 \cdots dx_n \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{K^{n+1}} \psi \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \left| \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(-x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \right| \right) dx_0 \cdots dx_n \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{n!}{\rho} U_K \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have also used the symmetry of K . This implies that $\|V_K\|_\psi \leq 2^n \|U_K\|_\psi$. The L_p case for $1 \leq p < \infty$ follows by setting $\psi(t) = t^p$; the L_∞ case then follows by letting $p \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

Intuitively, Proposition 2 implies that at the scale of n th roots, for large n , the volume of a random crosspolytope in K behaves similarly to 2^n times the volume of a random simplex in K . The proof above generalizes the proof of Proposition 5.6 in [16], which is essentially the L_1 case of Proposition 2 stated in different terms.

By a standard application of Borell's lemma (see [17, Appendix III]), one also obtains the following.

Proposition 3. *For $1 \leq p < q < \infty$, there are constants $c_{p,q} > 0$ such that*

$$\|V_K\|_p^{1/n} \leq \|V_K\|_q^{1/n} \leq c_{p,q} \|V_K\|_p^{1/n}$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_s^n$, and

$$\|U_K\|_p^{1/n} \leq \|U_K\|_q^{1/n} \leq c_{p,q} \|U_K\|_p^{1/n}$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$.

The isotropic constant L_K of a body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ can be defined by

$$L_K^{2n} = \det \left[\frac{1}{|K|^{n+2}} \int_K x_i x_j dx \right].$$

By expanding the determinant expressions, one obtains

$$(1) \quad (\mathbb{E} V_K^2)^{1/n} = \frac{4}{(n!)^{1/n}} L_K^2 \simeq \frac{4e}{n} L_K^2$$

for any $K \in \mathcal{K}_s^n$, and

$$(\mathbb{E} U_K^2)^{1/n} = \left(\frac{n+1}{n!} \right)^{1/n} L_K^2 \simeq \frac{e}{n} L_K^2$$

for any $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ with centroid at the origin. It is known that for some constant $c > 0$, $L_K \leq cn^{1/4} \log n$ for any $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, due to Bourgain [4] when $K \in \mathcal{K}_s^n$ and Paouris [18] in the general case. Combining this with Proposition 3, we conclude that for $p \geq 1$, there are constants $c_p > 0$ such that

$$\|U_K\|_p^{1/n} \leq c_p n^{-1/4} \log n$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ and

$$\|V_K\|_p^{1/n} \leq c_p n^{-1/4} \log n$$

for every $K \in \mathcal{K}_s^n$. Recall that the well-known hyperplane conjecture for symmetric convex bodies is equivalent to the conjecture that $L_K = O(1)$ for $K \in \mathcal{K}_s^n$ (see [16]). By the above observations, this is equivalent to the conjecture that for some $p \geq 1$, $\|U_K\|_p^{1/n}$ or $\|V_K\|_p^{1/n}$ is $O(n^{-1/2})$. We remark also that since

$$(\mathbb{E}U_{\Delta_n}^2)^{1/n} = \frac{(n!)^{1/n}}{(n+1)(n+2)} \simeq \frac{1}{en},$$

where Δ_n denotes an n -dimensional simplex, the hyperplane conjecture is implied by the conjecture that simplices maximize the moments (or, by Proposition 3, just the expected value) of U_K .

3. RANDOM POLYTOPES IN 1-SYMMETRIC BODIES

In this section we derive an expression for the fourth moment of V_K when K is *1-symmetric*, that is, when K is invariant under all reflections in the coordinate hyperplanes and all permutations of the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Combining this with the connection between the second moment and the isotropic constant L_K , and Proposition 2, we are able to determine the asymptotic behavior of $(\mathbb{E}V_K)^{1/n}$ and $(\mathbb{E}U_K)^{1/n}$ when $K = B_q^n$. The approach here is inspired by a suggestion of Kingman [12], who noted that since U_K is a bounded nonnegative random variable, its distribution is uniquely determined by its even moments, so that one might study that distribution for simple enough bodies by expanding the determinant expressions for those moments and integrating explicitly. Here we apply this approach not to U_K directly, but to V_K , which is more tractable because of the simpler determinant expression for the volume of the symmetric convex hull of n points.

Proposition 4. *Suppose $n \geq 2$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}_s^n$ is 1-symmetric. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}V_K^4 = \frac{16^n(n+1)(n+2)}{2(n!)^2|K|^{n+4}} \left(\int_K x_1^2 x_2^2 dx \right)^n \varphi(A_K - 3, n),$$

where

$$(2) \quad \varphi(t, n) = \left(1 - \frac{2t}{(n+2)} + \frac{t^2}{(n+1)(n+2)} \right) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{t^k}{k!} + \frac{t^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} - \frac{t^{n+2}}{(n+2)!}$$

$$\text{and } A_K = \frac{\int_K x_1^4 dx}{\int_K x_1^2 x_2^2 dx}.$$

Note that for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed, $\varphi(t, n) \simeq e^t$. The proof of Proposition 4, which is combinatorial in nature, is postponed until the end of this section.

By (1) and Proposition 4,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}V_{B_q^n}^2 &= \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{3}{q})\Gamma(1 + \frac{n}{q})}{3\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{q})^3\Gamma(1 + \frac{n+2}{q})} \right)^n \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{q}\right)^2, \\ \mathbb{E}V_{B_q^n}^4 &= \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2(n!)^2} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{3}{q})^2\Gamma(1 + \frac{n}{q})}{9\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{q})^6\Gamma(1 + \frac{n+4}{q})} \right)^n \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{q}\right)^4 \varphi(A_q - 3, n), \end{aligned}$$

where $A_q = A_{B_q^n} = \frac{9\Gamma(1+1/q)\Gamma(1+5/q)}{5\Gamma(1+3/q)^2}$. Using Stirling's formula, we have, for all $1 \leq q \leq \infty$,

$$(3) \quad \left(\mathbb{E}V_{B_q^n}^2\right)^{1/n} \simeq \alpha(1/q)n^{-1},$$

$$(4) \quad \left(\mathbb{E}V_{B_q^n}^4\right)^{1/n} \simeq \alpha(1/q)^2n^{-2},$$

where $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{e^{1-2t}\Gamma(1+3t)}{3\Gamma(1+t)^3}.$$

Recall that Corollary 3 implies that $(\mathbb{E}V_{B_q^n})^{1/n}$ is of the same order as $(\mathbb{E}V_{B_q^n}^2)^{1/2n}$; however, we can derive a more precise estimate for the expected value by using the following. (This is an estimate used in the proof of Khintchine's inequality; see [13, Theorem 2.b.3].)

Lemma 5. *Let X be a random variable such that $\mathbb{E}|X|^4 < \infty$. Then*

$$\left(\frac{\|X\|_2}{\|X\|_4}\right)^2 \|X\|_2 \leq \|X\|_1 \leq \|X\|_2.$$

(3) and (4) imply that $\|V_{B_q^n}\|_2^{1/n} \simeq \|V_{B_q^n}\|_4^{1/n}$. This fact, together with Lemma 5 and Proposition 2, imply that for any $1 \leq p \leq 4$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_{B_q^n}\|_p^{1/n} &\simeq \sqrt{\alpha(1/q)}n^{-1/2}, \\ \|U_{B_q^n}\|_p^{1/n} &\simeq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\alpha(1/q)}n^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

α achieves its maximum over $[0, 1]$ at $t = 0$, which implies that for $1 \leq p \leq 4$ and n large enough, $\|V_{B_q^n}\|_p$ and $\|U_{B_q^n}\|_p$ are maximized over $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ when $q = \infty$.

Schmuckenschläger [20] showed that $L_{B_q^n}$, and thus $\|V_{B_q^n}\|_2$, is maximized by $q = \infty$ for all n . This suggests the conjecture that B_∞^n is the body $K \in \mathcal{K}_s^n$ for which $\|V_K\|_p$ and $\|U_K\|_p$ are maximized when p is small enough. (Note that the precise meaning of “small enough” probably depends on the value of n .) This may seem surprising initially, since a random crosspolytope in B_1^n can have full volume, whereas the maximum volume of a crosspolytope in B_∞^n is much smaller when $n \geq 3$. The fact that small moments are larger for B_∞^n reflects the fact that there are many positions for a maximum volume crosspolytope in B_∞^n . On the other hand, since $\|V_{B_1^n}\|_\infty = 1$, it is natural to conjecture that $\|V_K\|_p$ is maximized for some body which is close to B_1^n when p is large enough.

We also note that for $1 \leq q \leq 2$, we have

$$\|V_{B_q^n}\|_\infty^{1/n} = \left(\frac{|B_1^n|}{|B_q^n|}\right)^{1/n} \simeq \frac{e^{1-1/q}}{q^{1/q}\Gamma(1+\frac{1}{q})}n^{-(1-\frac{1}{q})}.$$

Therefore, $c_1 \leq \sqrt{n}\|V_{B_2^n}\|_p^{1/n} \leq c_2$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, where $c_1, c_2 > 0$ are absolute constants, but for $1 \leq q < 2$, the moment growth of $V_{B_q^n}$ is more complicated. This suggests that in general, the asymptotic behavior of $\|V_K\|_p$ when p and n both increase without bound can depend strongly on the relationship between p and n .

Proposition 6. *For any $0 < p < \infty$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}_s^n$, we have*

$$\|V_K\|_p \leq \left(1 + \frac{p}{n}\right)^{-n/p} \|V_K\|_\infty.$$

Proof. By integrating in spherical coordinates we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}V_K^p &= \frac{1}{(n+p)^n|K|^n} \int_{(S^{n-1})^n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \rho_K(\theta_i) \right)^n \frac{|\text{conv}\{\pm\rho_K(\theta_1)\theta_1, \dots, \pm\rho_K(\theta_n)\theta_n\}|^p}{|K|^p} \prod_{i=1}^n d\sigma(\theta_i) \\ &\leq \frac{\|V_K\|_\infty^p}{(n+p)^n|K|^n} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K(\theta)^n d\sigma(\theta) \right)^n = \left(\frac{n}{n+p} \right)^n \|V_K\|_\infty^p, \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_K(\theta) = \max\{r > 0 : r\theta \in K\}$, and we have used the fact that $\rho_K(\theta)\theta \in K$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. $\square$

Note that $(1 + 1/t)^{-t} < 1$ for $t > 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (1 + 1/t)^{-t} = e^{-1} < 1$. Suppose we have a family $\{K_n \in \mathcal{K}_s^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and let $p = p(n)$ so that $p = O(n)$. Then $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + p/n)^{-n/p} < 1$, so by Proposition 6,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|V_{K_n}\|_p < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|V_{K_n}\|_\infty.$$

Therefore, one can only have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|V_{K_n}\|_p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|V_{K_n}\|_\infty,$$

if p grows faster than linearly with respect to n , for example, if $n = o(p)$.

We now take up the proof of Proposition 4.

Proof of Proposition 4. Let S_n denote the group of permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}V_K^4 &= \left(\frac{2^n}{(n!|K|)} \right)^4 \frac{1}{|K|^n} \int_{K^n} [\det(x^1, \dots, x^n)]^4 dx^1 \dots dx^n \\ &= \frac{16^n}{(n!)^4 |K|^{n+4}} \int_{K^n} \prod_{i=1}^4 \left(\sum_{\tau_i \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\tau_i) \prod_{j=1}^n x_{\tau_i(j)}^j \right) dx^1 \dots dx^n \\ &= \frac{16^n}{(n!)^4 |K|^{n+4}} \sum_{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4 \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 \tau_4) \prod_{j=1}^n \int_K \prod_{i=1}^4 x_{\tau_i(j)} dx \\ (5) \quad &= \frac{16^n}{(n!)^3 |K|^{n+4}} \sum_{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3) \prod_{j=1}^n \int_K x_j x_{\tau_1(j)} x_{\tau_2(j)} x_{\tau_3(j)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used the fact that multiplication by τ_4^{-1} permutes S_n .

We begin by defining T_n to be the set of all triples $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in (S_n)^3$ such that for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, (at least) one of $\tau_1(j), \tau_2(j), \tau_3(j)$ is j and the other two are equal. Note first that if K is symmetric with respect to reflections in the coordinate hyperplanes, then the product in (5) is nonzero only when $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in T_n$. In this case, (5) is simplified by the following fact.

Claim 1. *If $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in T_n$, then $\text{sgn}(\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3) = 1$.*

Claim 1 can be proved by induction on n . With this, (5) simplifies to

$$(6) \quad \mathbb{E}V_K^4 = \frac{16^n}{(n!)^3 |K|^{n+4}} \sum_{(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in T_n} \prod_{j=1}^n \int_K x_j x_{\tau_1(j)} x_{\tau_2(j)} x_{\tau_3(j)} dx$$

Now if K is also symmetric with respect to permutations of the coordinates, then the integral expression in (6) is equal to $\int_K x_1^4 dx$ in the case that $\tau_1(j) = \tau_2(j) = \tau_3(j) = j$, and equal to $\int_K x_1^2 x_2^2 dx$ otherwise. If we let $d_{n,k}$ denote the number of triples $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in T_n$ such that $\tau_1(j) = \tau_2(j) = \tau_3(j) = j$ for exactly k values of $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}V_K^4 &= \frac{16^n}{(n!)^3 |K|^{n+4}} \sum_{k=0}^n d_{n,k} \left(\int_K x_1^4 dx \right)^k \left(\int_K x_1^2 x_2^2 dx \right)^{n-k} \\ &= \frac{(16 \int_K x_1^2 x_2^2 dx)^n}{(n!)^3 |K|^{n+4}} \sum_{k=0}^n d_{n,k} \left(\frac{\int_K x_1^4 dx}{\int_K x_1^2 x_2^2 dx} \right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4 will now follow from the following.

Claim 2. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n d_{n,k} t^k = \frac{(n+2)!}{2} \varphi(t-3, n),$$

where $\varphi(t, n)$ is as defined in (2).

To prove this, we first note that if we define $d_n = d_{n,0}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_0 = 1$, then $d_{n,k} = \binom{n}{k} d_{n-k}$. The sequence $\{d_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ satisfies the following recurrence relation.

Claim 3. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d_{n+1} = n(d_n + 3d_{n-1})$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{T}_n = \{(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in T_n : \tau_1(j), \tau_2(j), \tau_3(j) \text{ are never all equal}\}$. Then $d_n = |\tilde{T}_n|$ ($|\cdot|$ denotes the cardinality of a finite set). Let $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in \tilde{T}_{n+1}$, and let $\omega \in S_{n+1}$ be as defined by the condition that for each $j = 1, \dots, n+1$, we have $\{\tau_1(j), \tau_2(j), \tau_3(j)\} = \{j, \omega(j), \omega(j)\}$ (as multisets). Note ω has no fixed points. Now $\tilde{T}_n$ can be partitioned according to the n possible values of $\omega(n)$; the number of triples in $\tilde{T}_n$ corresponding to each of these values of $\omega(n)$ is equal. Therefore

$$d_{n+1} = n |\{(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in \tilde{T}_{n+1} : \omega(n) = n+1\}|.$$

The set appearing in this expression can be further partitioned according to the value of $\omega(n+1)$. If $\omega(n+1) = n$, then one of τ_1, τ_2, τ_3 fixes both n and $(n+1)$ and the other two transpose them; therefore each of τ_1, τ_2, τ_3 restricts to a permutation of $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$ in such a way that the restrictions form a triple in $\tilde{T}_{n-1}$. Furthermore, each triple $(\tilde{\tau}_1, \tilde{\tau}_2, \tilde{\tau}_3) \in \tilde{T}_{n-1}$ results in this way from exactly three triples $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in \tilde{T}_{n+1}$ such that $\omega(n) = n+1$ and $\omega(n+1) = n$. Therefore there are $3d_{n-1}$ triples such that $\omega(n) = n+1$ and $\omega(n+1) = n$.

On the other hand, if $\omega(n) = n+1$ and $\omega(n+1) \neq n$, we can define $(\tilde{\tau}_1, \tilde{\tau}_2, \tilde{\tau}_3) \in \tilde{T}_n$ by

$$\tilde{\tau}_i(j) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } j = n \text{ and } \tau_i(n+1) = n+1, \\ \tau_i(n) & \text{if } j = n \text{ and } \tau_i(n+1) \neq n+1, \\ \tau_i(j) & \text{if } j < n. \end{cases}$$

One can easily verify that this defines a bijection between $\{(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in \tilde{T}_{n+1} : \omega(n) = n+1 \text{ and } \omega(n+1) \neq n\}$ and $\tilde{T}_n$. Therefore there are d_n triples $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in \tilde{T}_{n+1}$ such that $\omega(n) = n+1$ and $\omega(n+1) \neq n$. $\square$

Proof of Claim 2. Let g be the exponential generating function of the sequence $\{d_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$, defined by

$$g(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

The recurrence in Claim 3 and the initial conditions $d_0 = 1$, $d_1 = 0$, imply that g satisfies the differential equation

$$g'(t) = \frac{3t}{1-t} g(t)$$

with initial condition $g(0) = 1$, which has the solution

$$g(t) = \frac{e^{-3t}}{(1-t)^3}.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n d_{n,k} t^k \right) \frac{u^n}{n!} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} d_{n-k} t^k \right) \frac{u^n}{n!} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n \frac{u^n}{n!} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n \frac{u^n}{n!} \right) \\ &= \frac{e^{-3u}}{(1-u)^3} e^{tu} = \frac{1}{(1-u)^3} e^{(t-3)u} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)(n+2) u^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t-3)^n}{n!} u^n \right) \\ &= \frac{n!}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(n-k+1)(n-k+2)}{k!} (t-3)^k \right) \frac{u^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n d_{n,k} t^k = \frac{n!}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(n+1-k)(n+2-k)}{k!} (t-3)^k.$$

The remainder of the proof is elementary calculation. $\square$

The proof of Claim 2 follows the outline of a similar calculation, with the numbers d_n replaced by the derangement numbers D_n , shown to the author by A. de Acosta [1]. This completes the proof of Proposition 4. $\square$

4. RANDOM CROSSPOLYTOPES IN B_2^n

We now specialize to the case $K = B_2^n$, in which it is possible to compute explicitly all of the moments of V_K . In fact, with little more effort it is possible to derive a more general result.

Proposition 7. *Let $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $0 \leq s \leq k$. Consider k independent random points in $\mathbb{R}^n$, s of which are uniformly distributed in S^{n-1} and $k-s$ of which are uniformly distributed in B_2^n . Let $V = V_{n,k,s}$ denote the k -dimensional volume of the symmetric convex hull of the random points. Then for $p \geq 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}V^p = \left(\frac{2^k}{k!} \right)^p \left(1 + \frac{p}{n} \right)^s \left(\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{n+p}{2})} \right)^k \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-k+i+p}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-k+i}{2})}.$$

Proposition 7 is the symmetric analogue of a similar result due to Miles [15]. The most interesting special case is when $k = n$ and $s = 0$, in which we have $V_{n,n,0} = |B_2^n|V_{B_2^n}$. By the above formula, we have

$$\mathbb{E}V_{B_2^n}^p = \left(\frac{2^n \Gamma(1 + \frac{n}{2})}{n! \pi^{n/2}} \right)^p \left(\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{n+p}{2})} \right)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\frac{i+p}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{i}{2})}.$$

Proof of Proposition 7: If $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $A = A(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ is the $n \times k$ matrix with columns $x_1, \dots, x_k$, then we have

$$v_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \text{vol}_k(\text{conv}\{\pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_k\}) = \frac{2^k}{k!} |\det A^t A|^{1/2}.$$

Using the homogeneity of this quantity as a function of each point, we proceed by first integrating out the radial dependence of the points which are uniformly distributed in the ball, then transforming the resulting integrals over spheres in the usual way to Gaussian integrals. In this way we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}V^p &= \frac{1}{|B_2^n|^{k-s} \sigma(S^{n-1})^s} \int_{(S^{n-1})^s} \int_{(B_2^n)^{k-s}} v_k(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_s, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_k)^p d\sigma(\omega_1) \cdots d\sigma(\omega_s) dx_{s+1} \cdots dx_k \\ &= \left(\frac{2^{k/2}}{k!} \right)^p \left(1 + \frac{p}{n} \right)^s \left(\frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{n+p}{2})} \right)^k \mathbb{E} |\det G^t G|^{p/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $G = G_{n,k}$ is an $n \times k$ random matrix whose entries are independent $N(0, 1)$ random variables. The proposition now follows from the classical identity

$$\mathbb{E} |\det G^t G|^p = 2^{kp} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\Gamma(p + \frac{n-k+i}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-k+i}{2})}.$$

A simple proof of this identity may be given, e.g., by using the representation of G in [22]. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper is part of the author's Ph.D. thesis, written under the supervision of Profs. S. Szarek and E. Werner. The author wishes to thank Profs. E. Werner and C. Schütt for many valuable discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. de Acosta. Personal communication.
- [2] W. Blaschke. Über affine Geometrie XI: Lösung des "Vierpunktproblems" von Sylvester aus der Theorie der geometrischen Wahrscheinlichkeiten. *Ber. Verh. sächs. Akad. Leipzig*, 69:436–453, 1917.
- [3] W. Blaschke. *Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie II: Affine Differentialgeometrie*. Springer, Berlin, 1923.
- [4] J. Bourgain. On the distribution of polynomials on high-dimensional convex sets. In *Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis (1989–90)*, volume 1469 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 127–137. Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [5] S. Campi, A. Colesanti, and P. Gronchi. A note on Sylvester's problem for random polytopes in a convex body. *Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste*, 31(1-2):79–94, 1999.
- [6] L. Dalla and D. G. Larman. Volumes of a random polytope in a convex set. In *Applied Geometry and Discrete Mathematics*, pages 175–180. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [7] A. A. Giannopoulos. On the mean value of the area of a random polygon in a plane convex body. *Mathematika*, 39(2):279–290, 1992.

- [8] A. A. Giannopoulos and A. Tsolomitis. Volume radius of a random polytope in a convex body. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 134:13–21, 2003.
- [9] H. Groemer. On some mean values associated with a randomly selected simplex in a convex set. *Pacific J. Math.*, 45:525–533, 1973.
- [10] H. Groemer. On the mean value of the volume of a random polytope in a convex set. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 25:86–90, 1974.
- [11] M. Hartzoulaki and G. Paouris. Quermassintegrals of a random polytope in a convex body. To appear in *Arch. Math.*
- [12] J. F. C. Kingman. Random secants of a convex body. *J. Appl. Probability*, 6:660–672, 1969.
- [13] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri. *Classical Banach Spaces I: Sequence Spaces*, volume 92 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*. Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [14] M. W. Meckes. On symmetric versions of Sylvester’s problem. Preprint, 2002.
- [15] R. E. Miles. Isotropic random simplices. *Advances in Appl. Probability*, 3:353–382, 1971.
- [16] V. D. Milman and A. Pajor. Isotropic position and inertia ellipsoids and zonoids of the unit ball of a normed n -dimensional space. In *Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis (1987–88)*, volume 1376 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 64–104. Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [17] V. D. Milman and G. Schechtman. *Asymptotic Theory of Finite-Dimensional Normed Spaces*, volume 1200 of *Lecture Notes in Math.* Springer, Berlin, 1986. With an appendix by M. Gromov.
- [18] G. Paouris. On the isotropic constant of non-symmetric convex bodies. In *Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis (1996–2000)*, volume 1745 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 239–243. Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [19] R. E. Pfeifer. The historical development of J. J. Sylvester’s four point problem. *Math. Mag.*, 62(5):309–317, 1989.
- [20] M. Schmuckenschläger. Volume of intersections and sections of the unit ball of l_p^n . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 126(5):1527–1530, 1998.
- [21] P. Schöpf. Gewichtete Volumsmittelwerte von Simplexes, welche zufällig in einem konvexen Körper des $\mathbb{R}^n$ gewählt werden. *Monatsh. Math.*, 83(4):331–337, 1977.
- [22] J. W. Silverstein. The smallest eigenvalue of a large-dimensional Wishart matrix. *Ann. Probab.*, 13(4):1364–1368, 1985.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY, CLEVELAND, OHIO 44106.
E-mail address: mwm2@po.cwru.edu